

CS 2100: Data Structures & Algorithms 1

Advanced Sorts (Part I) Mergesort; divide and conquer

Dr. Nada Basit // basit@virginia.edu Spring 2022

Friendly Reminders

- The University updated the mask policy. As per my Request on Mar 28, 2022 (see Collab), I would greatly appreciate if you would do me a kind favor by **continuing to wear your masks** in CS 2100 (Ridley G008). I know it is a lot to ask, and it is **voluntary**, but I appreciate your understanding.
- If you forget your mask (or mask is lost/broken), I have a few available
 - Just come up to me at the start of class and ask!
- No eating or drinking in the classroom, please
- Our lectures will be **recorded** (see Collab) please allow 24-48 hrs to post
- If you feel **unwell**, or think you are, please stay home
 - We will work with you!
 - At home: eye mask instead! Get some rest 😳



{Reminder} How to Sort?

• Some "straightforward" sorting algorithms

• Insertion Sort, Selection Sort, Bubble Sort

• Each is O(n²)

- More efficient sorting algorithms
 - Quicksort, Mergesort, Heapsort

• Each is O(n log n)

Best Sorts are O(n log n)

{Reminder} Sorting using Collections.sort()

- Collections and Arrays classes provide .sort() methods!
 - Utilizes compareTo() or Comparator to *determine order* when comparing elements
 - "under the hood", it's a *variant* of something called *Mergesort*
 - $\Theta(n \log n)$ worst-case -- as good as we can do
 - We'll discuss how Mergesort works soon!

Mergesort

A divide-and-conquer style algorithm

Mergesort Introduction

- General sorting algorithm
- Is *recursive*
- An example of a **divide-and-conquer** algorithm
- Is $o(n^2) strictly faster$ than n^2
- is faster than the **adjacent sorts** in most situations
 - Bubble sort and Insertion sort were: $\Theta(n^2)$

Divide-and-Conquer

Certain algorithms follow this paradigm; usually they are recursive too

Scenario

• Imagine you worked for the Post Office.

 One day the automated sorting machine broke down and you have lots of pieces of mail to sort! ☺



• If you had **100 pieces of mail to sort**, executing a sort algorithm (e.g. O(n²)) on **this one pile of 100 pieces** will take **10,000t** (t=time)

Divide and Conquer

- However, sorting **2 piles of 50** would take 2 x 2,500t
- Sorting **4 piles of 25** will take 4 x 645t (2,500t)
- Diving the problem reduced the overhead!

Using recursion to break a problem down into smaller pieces to improve algorithm performance. (Run each of these smaller pieces *in parallel!*)

• Binary Search is an example of this.

Divide and Conquer: putting recursion to work for you!

- An algorithm design strategy, one of many you will learn
- Strategy: It is often easier to solve several **small instances** of a problem than one large one.
 - **divide** the problem into \mathbf{k} smaller instances of the same problem
 - conquer (solve) each the k problems recursively
 - **combine** the solutions to obtain the solution for *original* input
- Note: Must have a base case to solve *really small* problems *directly*

10

General Strategy for Divide and Conquer

- Solve(A) // solve for input A
 n = size(A) // size of our problem is n
 - // base case
 - if (n <= smallsize) // problem <= some threshold</pre>
 - solution = directlySolve(A); // solve directly
 - else // recursive case
 - divide A into A_1 , A_2 , ..., A_k . // divide for each i in {1, ..., k}

S_i = solve(A_i); // conquer each sub-problem
solution = combine(S₁, ..., S_k); // combine parts
return solution; // return solution to original problem

General Strategy for Divide and Conquer

• Runtime is equal to time to divide + recurse + time to merge

solveProblem(input)
 if input is small, then brute-force
 else if input is big
 divide problem into n smaller problems
 recursively invoke solveProblem on smaller problems
 merge solutions to small problems into bigger solution
 return bigger solution

Why Divide and Conquer?

- Sometimes it's the simplest approach
- Divide and Conquer is often more efficient than "obvious" approaches
 - E.g. Binary Search instead of Sequential Search
 - E.g. Merge Sort or Quicksort instead of Selection Sort
- Not necessarily efficient: Maybe the same or worse than another approach
- No standard implementation: May or may not be implemented recursively
- Divide and Conquer algorithms illustrate a top-down strategy
 Given a large problem, identify and break into smaller subproblems; solve then combine the results

[Aside] Binary Search

Recursive divide-and-conquer strategy

Binary Search: Non-Recursive (aka Iterative)

```
int binSearch ( int[] array, int target ) {
   int first = 0; int last = array.length-1;
   while ( first <= last ) {</pre>
      mid = (first + last) / 2; // calculate middle ('mid')
      if ( target == array[mid] ) return mid; // found it!
      else if ( target < array[mid] ) // must be in 1<sup>st</sup> half
             last = mid - 1;
                                        // must be in 2<sup>nd</sup> half
      else
             first = mid + 1
 }
 return -1; // only got here if not found above
}
```

Binary Search: Recursive [pseudocode]

public static int binarySearch(int[] list, int value) {

```
return binSearch(list, target, 0, list.length -1); // initially entire list is valid
}
public static int binSearch(int[] list, int first, int last, int target) {
   //Base Case: if no where left to look (if low > high) return (-1)
   //Calculate mid (an int)
   //Print mid - the item that is being compared
   //if mid is equal to target, return mid
   //else if mid is less than the target, first = mid + 1 (target is in the top half)
   //else (mid is greater than the target), last = mid - 1 (target is in the bottom half)
   //return [a recursive call to binSearch, passing values list, first, last, target]
}
```

• No loop! Recursive calls takes its place - But don't think about that if it confuses you!

• Base cases checked first? (Why? Zero items? One item?)

Binary Search: Recursive

```
int binSearch(int[] array, int first, int last, int target) {
    if (first <= last) {</pre>
        int mid = (first + last) / 2;
        if (target == array[mid])
            return mid;
        if (target < array[mid])</pre>
            return binSearch(array, first, mid - 1, target);
        else if (target > array[mid]);
            return binSearch(array, mid + 1, last, target);
    return -1;
```

Merge Sort

Divide-and-conquer stragegy

Algorithm: Mergesort

• Specification:

• Input: Array E and indexes first and last

• Output: Sorted rearrangement of the same elements in E between first & last

• Mergesort is a classic example of Divide and Conquer:

- <u>Divide</u>: split the array into two halves (left and right / first and last)
- <u>**Conquer</u>**: call mergesort() to recursively sort the two halves</u>
- <u>Combine</u>: combine the 2 sorted halves into one final sorted array
 - This is the "**merge**" step, and where it gest its name!
 - [Base case: 1 element (is sorted) or: 2 elements (compare and swap)]



Animation: Mergesort

6 5 3 1 8 7 2 4



https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/algorithms/merge-sort/a/overview-of-merge-sort

Mergesort: Divide stage



https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/algorithms/merge-sort/a/overview-of-merge-sort

Mergesort: Conquer stage (merge)



https://www.khanacademy.org/computing/computer-science/algorithms/merge-sort/a/overview-of-merge-sort

Mergesort: Do it by hand ~ divide and merge stages 6 5 3 1 8 7 2 4

Exercise: Trace Mergesort Execution

<u>8, 3, 2, 9</u> <u>7, 1, 5, 4</u>	
<u>8,3</u> <u>2,9</u> <u>7,1</u> <u>5,4</u>	
8, 3, 2, 9, 7, 1, 5, 4	
3,8 2,9 1,7 4,5	

← divide into 2 lists of 4
← divide 2 lists of 4 into 4 lists of 2
← divide into SINGLE items
← merge single items into pairs

2,3,8,9 1,4,5,7 {1,2,3,4,5,7,8,9}

 $\leftarrow merge \ 4 \text{ pairs into } 2_{26} \text{ lists of } 4$ $\leftarrow merge \ 2 \text{ lists of } 4 \text{ into } 1 \text{ list } (\underline{\text{Result}})$

Exercise: Trace Mergesort Execution

Can you trace MergeSort() on this list? (*odd # of elements*)
A = {8, 3, 2, 9, 7, 1, 5, 4, 6}; ← original list; to be sorted (*9 elements*)

<u>8, 3, 2, 9</u> <u>7, 1, 5, 4, 6</u>	<i>← divide</i> two lists are not even (ok!)
<u>8,3</u> <u>2,9</u> <u>7,1</u> <u>5,4</u> <u>6</u>	\leftarrow <i>divide</i> into pairs + 1
8, 3, 2, 9, 7, 1, 5, 4 <mark>, 6</mark>	← <i>divide</i> into SINGLE items
3,8 2,9 1,7 4,5,6	$\leftarrow merge \text{ single items into pairs } + 3$
2,3,8,9 1,4,5,6,7	← merge
{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9}	\leftarrow <i>merge</i> into 1 list (<u>Result</u>)

Efficiency of Mergesort

• *Mergesort* is **O(n lg n)**

same order-class as the most efficient sorts (quicksort and heapsort)

- It <u>is</u> more efficient than Selection Sort, Bubble Sort, and Insertion Sort
- The Divide and Conquer approach matters, and in this case, is a "win"!

- Most of the work is done in the "**merge**" portion of the algorithm
- Most implementations use a "scratch array"
 - An extra array of size n which is then copied back into the original array

Merge part of Mergesort

Merging Sorted Sequences

- Problem:
 - Given two sequences A and B sorted in non-decreasing order, merge them to create one sorted sequence C
 - Input size: C has n items, and A and B have n/2
- Strategy:
 - Determine the first item in C: it should be the smaller of the first item in A and the first in B.
 - Suppose it is the first item of A. Copy that to C.
 - Then continue merging B with "rest of A" (without the item copied to C). Repeat!

Algorithm: Merge (pseudocode)

- merge(A, B, C)
 - if (A is empty)
 - append what is left in B to C
 - else if (B is empty)
 - append what is left in A to C
 - else if (first item in A <= first item in B)
 - append first item in A to C
 - merge (rest of A, B, C)
 - else // first item in B is smaller
 - append first item in B to C
 - merge (A, rest of B, C)
 - return

// sequence A and B; merge into C // maintain current index of sub-arrays (A & B) // and destination array (C) int a_ptr = 0; int b_ptr = 0; int c_ptr = 0; // until end of A or B is reached, pick the // larger among elements pointed to in A and B // and place in correct position in C array while (a_ptr < A.len && b_ptr < B.len) {</pre> if(A[a_ptr] <= B[b_ptr]) { // ele in A smaller</pre> $C[c_ptr] = A[a_ptr];$ a_ptr++; // increment A pointer } else { // ele in B smaller C[**c_ptr**] = B[b_ptr]; b ptr++; // increment B pointer

c_ptr++; // adjust C pointer for next ele

// when run out of elements in either A or B
// pick up the remaining ele and put into C
while (a_ptr < A.len) // copy rest of A into C
 C[c_ptr] = A[a_ptr]; a_ptr++; c_ptr++;</pre>

while (b_ptr < B.len) // copy rest of B into C
 C[c_ptr] = B[b_ptr]; b_ptr++; c_ptr++;</pre>



Since there are no more elements remaining in the second array, and we know that both the arrays were sorted when we started, we can copy the remaining elements from the first array directly.



Examining Merge: Small Example

- Merge A and B: $A = 3,8 B = 2,9 C = \{\}$ to hold sorted list
- 3,8 <u>2</u>,9 C={2}
- <u>3</u>,8 9 C={2, 3}
- $\underline{8}$ 9 C={2, 3, 8}
- $\underline{9}$ C={2, 3, 8, 9}

• Done!

Red: elements at head of list <u>Red Underlined</u>: smallest (this is the element that gets added to the <u>sorted list</u>) Green: rest of items in the list C: growing sorted list

Mergesort Analysis

- What is the runtime?
 - Divide the list (constant)
 - Two recursive sorts
 - Merge (linear)
- Total: $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n = \Theta(nlog(n))$
 - Uhhhhh...why?

• How to tell that this is true?

•
$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n = \Theta(nlog(n))$$

- Solve for a closed form (will see in DSA2)
- Draw out tree and count (we did this!)
- Master theorem (nice...will see in DSA2)

Mergesort: Do it by hand ~ divide and merge stages 6 5 3 1 8 7 2 4

Each row has linear work (n) for merge to do There are log(n) rows $\rightarrow n^*log(n)$

Meregesort Method Signature

• Typically, Mergesort is done like this instead:

//Sort the list between indices i and j
public void mergeSort(T[] list, int i, int j);

- And recursive calls done like this
 - Doesn't make new arrays when dividing
 - Just ask mergesort to only work on one portion of interest
 - merge() still uses scratch array, copies *back* to list

int mid = (j+i)/2; mergeSort(list, i, mid); mergeSort(list, mid+1, j);

Algorithm: Meregesort

public static void mergeSort (Element[] E, int first, int last){
 if (first < last) { // base case == 1 element
 int mid = (first + last)/2; // calculate middle
 mergeSort(E, first, mid); // sort first half
 mergeSort(E, mid+1, last); // sort second half
 merge(E, first, mid, last); // merge two sorted halves
}</pre>