

Compilation Pipeline

CS 2130: Computer Systems and Organization 1

Xinyao Yi Ph.D.

Assistant Professor





Announcements


• Homework 6 due Monday at 11:59pm

MIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

10. [14 points] Using only 2-input and, or, xor gates, 1-input not gates, and constants 0 and/or 1, draw a circuit that has 3-bit input x with bits labeled x_2 , x_1 , and x_0 ; 3-bit output with bits labeled z_2 , z_1 , z_0 ; which computes z = -x in 3-bit two's complement. Clearly label your inputs and output bits individually.



Increment Circuit



MUNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

Category	Patent	Copyright	License Agreement
Protected Object	Technological inventions and innovations	Creative expressions and forms (e.g., code, text, images)	Usage rights of protected content
Source of Right	Granted by government agencies after examination	Automatically arises upon creation	Established through contractual agreement
Automatic Acquisition	×No	✓ Yes	X No (requires signing)
Duration	Typically 20 years	Author's lifetime + a number of years (e.g., 70 years in the U.S.)	Determined by contract terms
Nature of Right	Exclusive right to make, use, or sell an invention	Prevents copying or unauthorized reproduction	Defines how others can use or distribute the work
Examples	A new GPU scheduling algorithm, hardware design	Source code, research paper, textbook	MIT License, GPL, Apache 2.0, commercial EULA
Key Focus	Innovation and technical exclusivity	Expression and originality	Permission and terms of use

Patents Cold War

- The "Patent Cold War" describes a modern corporate phenomenon where major technology companies (Apple, Google, IBM, Microsoft, Qualcomm, etc.) stockpile patents—not primarily to innovate, but to defend against lawsuits or block competitors.
- It's called a "cold war" because companies deter each other through mutual threats of litigation, much like nuclear deterrence.
 - Apple vs. Samsung (2011–2020): Massive smartphone patent battles over design and gestures.
 - Google vs. Oracle: Decade-long litigation over Java API copyrights, shaping software development law.
 - IBM and Microsoft patent pools: Used to maintain dominance and restrict new entrants.



Some Facts

- IBM files **8,000+ patents annually**, but many are never implemented.
- Studies show that in **high-patent-density fields** (semiconductors, software), innovation rates may decline.
- The U.S. Supreme Court's *Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank (2014)* decision ruled that **abstract software algorithms are not patentable**, aiming to limit excessive claims.
- In contrast, **Tesla (2014)** opened all its electric vehicle patents to promote industry-wide innovation—a symbolic move against the "patent cold war."

UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

Discuss

- Do modern patent systems still promote innovation—or do they mostly protect big companies?
- Should algorithms and software be patentable, given that they are often abstract ideas?
- How can startups survive in industries dominated by patent-rich corporations?
- Is it ethical for companies to file thousands of patents purely to block competition?
- Should universities and researchers embrace open-source sharing instead of patent races?



Lessons


- The system needs rebalancing.
 - Patent protection is still essential without it, true innovators might lose incentives.
 - But reform is needed to ensure **patents serve the public good**, not just corporate power.
 - Solutions include improving patent quality, limiting software patentability, shortening terms for fast-moving fields, and encouraging open innovation models.
- Emerging trend: "Open Innovation" as an antidote.
 - Companies like Tesla, IBM (with open-source AI frameworks), and several universities are embracing openness.
 - Sharing technology publicly can **accelerate collective progress** and reduce the wasteful "arms race" of patents.

UNIVERSITY Ø VIRGINIA

Compilation Pipeline We want to bridge the gap between the assembly and C

Turning our code into something that runs

• Pipeline - a sequence of steps in which each builds off the last



Page <u>10</u>

Notes:

- 10 The whole steps from assembly to executable, is fully reversable. This might not be perfect, the labels may not be quite what we want.
- 3. The process from C to assembly is lossy. Difficult to go back to C.



Why did we discuss assembly?

- 1. Understand how high-level code maps to machine execution
- 3. Remystify what compiler does.
- 3. Learn how data and control flow work at hard level.
- Q. Debug low-level issues.

.

C is a thin wrapper around assembly

- This is by design!
- Invented to write an operating system
 - Can write inline assembly in C
- Many other languages decided to look like C
 No classes / objects in C.

Simple C Example

```
int main() {
   int y = 5;
   return 0;
}
```



Compilation Pipeline

Earlier, we saw:

- C files (.c) compiled to assembly (.s)
- Assembly (.s) assembled into object files (.o)
- · Object files (.o) linked into a program / executable


Compiling C to Assembly

Multiple stages to compile C to assembly

- Preprocess produces C
 - C is actually implemented as 2 languages:
 C preprocessor language, C language
 - Removes comments, handles preprocessor directives (#)
 - #include, #define, #if, #else, ...
- Lex breaks input into individual tokens
- Parse assembles tokens into intended meaning (parse tree)
- Type check ensures types match, adds casting as needed
- Code generation creates assembly from parse tree

UNIVERSITY of VIRGINIA

Compiling C to Assembly



Page 16

doesn't work int x; double y=2; 1=y+5;