#### Graphs – Dijkstra's, Prim's, Indirect Heaps

1

CS4102, Spring 2022

Readings: CLRS 23.2, 24.2, 24.3

# Topics

#### Dijkstra's algorithm + naïve runtime

- Review!!
- Prim's algorithm + naïve runtime
  - Also Review!!!
- Why these two algorithms? Turns out they are VERY similar
- Indirect Heaps
  - A new data structure that makes both algorithms above more efficient

# Dijkstra's Algorithm

# Weighted Shortest Path

- no negative weight edges.
- Dijkstra's algorithm: uses similar ideas as the unweighted case.
- **Greedy** algorithms:

do what seems to be best at every decision point.



# Dijkstra's algorithm

- Initialize each vertex's distance as infinity
- Start at a given vertex s
  - Update s's distance to be 0
- Repeat
  - Pick the next unknown vertex with the shortest distance to be the next v
    - If no more vertices are unknown, terminate loop
  - Mark v as known
  - For each edge from v to adjacent unknown vertices w
    - If the total distance to w is less than the current distance to w
       Update w's distance and the path to w

			V <sub>e</sub>	2	V
			2	1	
			V <sub>2</sub>		$V_2$ 1
				6	
V	Known	Dist	path		5
v0					10
v1					
v2					
v3					
v4					
v5					
v6					

void Graph::dijkstra(Vertex s) {
 Vertex v,w;
 s.dist = 0;

```
for each w adjacent to v
if (!w.known)
if (v.dist + Cost_VW < w.dist) {
    w.dist = v.dist + Cost_VW;
    w.path = v;
}</pre>
```

#### Naïve Analysis

- How long does it take to find the smallest unknown distance?
  - simple scan using an array: O(V)
- Total running time:
  - Using a simple scan:  $O(V^2+E) = O(V^2)$

## Dijkstra' Algorithm

```
dijkstra(G, wt, s)
 init PQ to be empty;
 PQ.Insert(s, dist=0);
parent[s] = NULL; dist[s] = 0;
while (PQ not empty)
   v = PQ.ExtractMin();
   for each w adj to v
     if (w is unseen) {
        dist[w] = dist[v] + wt(v,w)
        PQ.Insert(w, dist[w]);
        parent[w] = v;
     }
     else if (w is fringe &&
              dist[v] + wt(v,w) < dist[w] }
        dist[w] = dist[v] + wt(v,w)
        PQ.decreaseKey(w, dist[w]);
        parent[w] = v;
     }
 9
```

## Analysis of Priority Queue implementation?

- How long does it take to find the smallest unknown distance?
  - extract min from PQ: O(log(V))
  - But called V times total, so O(V\*log(V))
- Inner loop:
  - runs E times like before but....
  - Each edge could force a PQ.decreaseKey() call, runtime??
  - Naïve decreaseKey() is linear time: O(V), total of O(E\*V)
- So, total is O(V\*log(V) + E\*V). Is this better??
  - Earlier, using a simple scan:  $O(V^2+E) = O(V^2)$

# Prim's Algorithm

11

## Prim's algorithm

Idea: Grow a tree by adding an edge from the "known" vertices to the "unknown" vertices. Pick the edge with the smallest weight.



# Prim's Algorithm for MST

- Pick one node as the root,
- Incrementally add edges that connect a "new" vertex to the tree.
- Pick the edge (u,v) where:
  - u is in the tree, v is not AND
  - where the edge weight is the smallest of all edges (where u is in the tree and v is not).





# Prim's MST Algorithm

- Greedy strategy:
  - Choose some start vertex as current-tree
  - Greedy rule: Add edge from graph to current-tree that
    - has the lowest weight of edges that...
    - have one vertex in the tree and one not in the tree.
- Thus builds-up one tree by adding a new edge to it
- Can this lead to an infeasible solution? (Tell me why not.)
- Is it optimal? (Yes. Need a proof.)

# Tracking Edges for Prim's MST

- Candidate edges: edge from a tree-node to a nontree node
  - Since we'll choose smallest, keep only one candidate edge for each non-tree node
  - But, may need to make sure we always have the smallest edge for each non-tree node
- Fringe-nodes: non-trees nodes adjacent to the tree
- Need data structure to hold fringe-nodes
  - Priority queue, ordered by min-edge weight
  - May need to update priorities!

# Prim's Algorithm

```
MST-Prim(G, wt)
 init PQ to be empty;
 PQ.Insert(s, wt=0);
 parent[s] = NULL;
 while (PQ not empty)
   v = PQ.ExtractMin();
   for each w adj to v
     if (w is unseen) {
        PQ.Insert(w, wt(v,w));
        parent[w] = v;
     }
     else if (w is fringe && wt[v,w] < fringeWt(w)) {
        PQ.decreaseKey(w, wt[v,w]);
        parent[w] = v;
     }
```

# Cost of Prim's Algorithm

Looks VERY similar to Dijkstra's doesn't it!!

- Outer loop extracts from PQ total of V times
   O(V\*log(V))
- Inner loop runs E times total, but calls decreaseKey()
  - If decreaseKey() is naïve and linear (V), then

• O(E\*V)

• Total: O(V\*log(V) + E\*V)

# Indirect Heaps

#### Compare

- Both Dijkstra and Prim have same structure, and suffer from a naïve, slow implementation of decreaseKey()
- Let's compare the code real fast, and then introduce the Indirect Heap

# Dijkstra' Algorithm

```
dijkstra(G, wt, s)
 init PQ to be empty;
 PQ.Insert(s, dist=0);
parent[s] = NULL; dist[s] = 0;
while (PQ not empty)
   v = PQ.ExtractMin();
   for each w adj to v
     if (w is unseen) {
        dist[w] = dist[v] + wt(v,w)
        PQ.Insert(w, dist[w] );
        parent[w] = v;
     }
     else if (w is fringe &&
                   dist[v] + wt(v,w) < dist[w]  (
        dist[w] = dist[v] + wt(v,w)
        PQ.decreaseKey(w, dist[w]);
        parent[w] = v;
     }
 22
```

# Prim's Algorithm

```
MST-Prim(G, wt)
 init PQ to be empty;
 PQ.Insert(s, wt=0);
 parent[s] = NULL;
 while (PQ not empty)
   v = PQ.ExtractMin();
   for each w adj to v
     if (w is unseen) {
        PQ.Insert(w, wt(v,w));
        parent[w] = v;
     }
     else if (w is fringe && wt[v,w] < fringeWt(w)) {
        PQ.decreaseKey(w, wt[v,w]);
        parent[w] = v;
     }
```

Better PQ Implementations

Goal: Lower cost of PQ.decreaseKey()

• Example of naïve approach first  $\rightarrow$ 

#### Better PQ Implementations

Goal: Lower cost of PQ.decreaseKey()

▶ Indirect Heap  $\rightarrow$ 

## Better PQ Implementations (2)

- Cost of Dijkstra's and Prim's
  - $\bullet O(V*log(V) + E*V)$
- Indirect heap makes bolded V become log(V)
- New Cost:
  - O(V\*log(V) + E\*log(V)) = O(E\*log(V))

#### Proving Dijkstra's Correct Using Proof by Induction

# Structure of an induction proof for correctness

#### Base case

Show the algorithm correct for some small input size

#### Inductive Hypothesis

- Assume algorithm is correct for all input sizes up to some size
- E.g. for input sizes up to not including k
  - Or equivalently, up to and including n. It doesn't matter how you name the "boundary" as long as you're consistent in next step!

#### Inductive Step

- Show algorithm is correct for next larger input size
- E.g. for size k
  - Or, for *n*+1 if you used *n* to define Inductive Hypothesis

# Dijkstra' Algorithm

```
dijkstra(G, wt, s)
 init PQ to be empty;
 PQ.Insert(s, dist=0);
parent[s] = NULL; dist[s] = 0;
while (PQ not empty)
   v = PQ.ExtractMin();
   for each w adj to v
     if (w is unseen) {
        dist[w] = dist[v] + wt(v,w)
        PQ.Insert(w, dist[w] );
        parent[w] = v;
     }
     else if (w is fringe &&
                   dist[v] + wt(v,w) < dist[w]  (
        dist[w] = dist[v] + wt(v,w)
        PQ.decreaseKey(w, dist[w]);
        parent[w] = v;
     }
 29
```

# Summary

# What Did We Learn?

- Review of Dijkstra's and Prim's
  - Almost same algorithm but solve different problems!!
- Review of Naïve runtime analysis
- Indirect heap and better runtime for each algorithm
- Use of induction to prove Dijkstra's find minimum distance to every vertex