Kruskal's MST Algorithm and Find-Union Data Structure

CS 4102: Algorithms Spring 2022 Robbie Hott and Tom Horton

Topics in this slide-deck:

- Motivating Problem: Minimum Spanning Trees
 - This is a graph problem, and you've seen it
- One solution
 - Kruskal's Algorithm (Uses a find-union structure)
- Define and design the find-union to support Kruskal's Algorithm
 - Will require some clever implementation details

Minimum Spanning Trees

Readings: CLRS 23 (but not 23.1 and only first part of 23.2)

Spanning Tree

- A *spanning tree* of a graph G is a subgraph of G that contains every vertex in G and is also a *tree* (i.e., it has no cycles)
 - All connected graphs have spanning tree(s)
 - All spanning trees have the same number of nodes (all of them)
 - You can construct a spanning tree by arbitrarily remove edges from cycles

Spanning Tree: Example

- Original Graph:
- Possible spanning trees:



Spanning Tree: Example (almost)



Minimum Spanning Tree

- Just constructing any spanning tree is simple
- Suppose edges have costs!
 - Cost of building tracks between two stations
 - Length of wire between boxes in a house
- Each spanning tree has a different total cost (sum of edges included in tree)
- The *Minimum Spanning Tree* is the spanning tree with lowest overall cost

Minimum Spanning Tree

- Given a connected and undirected graph G=(V, E)
- Find a graph G' = (V, E') such that:
 - E' is a subset of E
 - -|E'| = |V| 1
 - G' is connected (assuming G was connected)
 - Sum of cost of edges in E' is minimum
- G' is then the minimum spanning tree

Kruskal's MST Algorithm

Readings: CLRS first part of 23.2

Kruskal's MST Algorithm

- Prim's approach:
 - Build one tree. Make the one tree bigger and as good as it can be.
- Kruskal's approach
 - Choose the best edge possible: smallest weight
 - Not one tree maintain a forest!
 - Each edge added will connect two trees.
 Can't form a cycle in a tree!
 - After adding V-1 edges, you have one tree, the MST

Kruskal's MST Algorithm

- Idea: Have a forest (set of trees) that eventually shrinks into one tree
 - At each step, add an edge that joins two trees (no cycles!)
 - Choose the one (v,w) that has the smallest weight of possible connecting edges
 - Continue until you have one tree, which will be a MST



MST Example



MST and Kruskal's Example





Cost(MST) = 16

Kruskal code



Runtime of Kruskal's

- Every edge is placed on priority queue once and removed once
 Θ(E * log(E)) = Θ(E * log(V))
- For each edge you do 2 set finds and one set union.
 - Let f(V) be time of find, and u(V) be time of union.

$$- \Theta \left(E * \left(2f(V) + u(V) \right) \right)$$

- If find and union are linear time, then $\Theta(E * (2V + V)) = \Theta(E * V) = O(V^3)$
- Overall: $\Theta(E * \log(V) + E * V) = \Theta(E * V) = O(V^3)$ //Assumes find and union linear time

Strategy for Kruskal's

- EL = sorted set of edges ascending by weight
 - (For this discussion, we're sorting here, not using a heap)
- Foreach edge e in EL
 - T1 = tree for head(e)
 - T2 = tree for tail(e)
 - If (T1 != T2)
 - add e to the output (the MST)
 - Combine trees T1 and T2
- Seems simple, no?
 - But, how do you keep track of what trees a vertex is in?
 - Trees are sets of vertices. Need to findset(v) and "union" two sets

Disjoint Sets and Find/Union Algorithms

Readings: CLRS 21.3

- An Abstract Data Type (ADT) for a collection of sets of any kind of item, where an item can only belong to one of the sets – We'll assume each item is identified by a unique integer value
- Need to support the following operations
 - void makeSet(int n)
 - int findSet(int i)

- // construct n independent sets
- // given i, which set does i belong to?
- void union(int i, int j) // merge sets containing i and j

Represent Sets As Trees

- In our implementation, we'll represent each set as a tree
- Identify set by its root node's ID (its "label")
 - findSet() means tracing up to root
 - union() makes one root child of the other root



- Needs to support the following operations
 - void makeSet(int n) //construct n independent sets
- Solution:
 - Store as array of size n. Each location stores label for that set.

- Needs to support the following operations
 - int findSet(int i) //given i, which set does i belong to?
- Solution: Trace around array until we find place where index and contents match
 - Start at index i and repeat:
 - If a[i] == i then return i
 - Else set i = a[i]



- Needs to support the following operations
 - void union(int i, int j) //merge sets i and j
- Solution: find label for each set (call find() method), then set one label to point to other
 - Label1 = find(i); Label2 = find(j)
 - a[Label1] = Label2 //OR a[Label2] = Label1



- Example:
 - union(4,5)
 - union(6,7)
 - union(1,2)
 - union(5,6)
 - find(1); find(4); find(6)



Example Using MST Example





- Time-complexity, where n is size of array?
- makeSet()
 - Linear: just create array and fill it with values
- find()
 - Linear if have to trace a long way to get to label
 - Constant if lucky and input is the label (root note) or near it
- union()
 - Constant to change the label BUT...
 - Could be linear to find the two labels first.

Optimization 1: Union by rank



Optimization 1: Union by rank

- Easy to implement!!
- What's "rank" here?
 - Upper bound on height of a node in our set's tree
- Union by rank:
 - Make the root with smaller rank
 point to the root with larger rank

MAKE-SET(x)1 $x \cdot p = x$ 2 $x \cdot renk = 0$

2 x.rank = 0

UNION(x, y)1 LINK(FIND-SET(x), FIND-SET(y))

LINK(x, y)**if** x.rank > y.rank2 y.p = x**else** x.p = y**if** x.rank == y.ranky.rank = y.rank + 1

Optimization 2: Path Compression

- Nothing special about tree's structure, as long as we can trace back to root
- Idea: as we do a find, each node we visit gets updated to point directly to root
- Later finds will be faster



Optimization 2: Path Compression

- Also easy to implement
 - CLRS code uses recursion ightarrow
 - Or would loop and keep a list

```
def find_set(x):
 path = []
 while x != x.p:
     path.append(x)
     x = x.p
 for n in path:
     n.p = x.p
 return x.p
```

FIND-SET(x) 1 if $x \neq x.p$ 2 x.p = FIND-SET(x.p)3 return x.p

Complexity for Kruskal's

- Union-by-rank and path compression yields m operations in $\Theta(m * \alpha(n))$
 - where $\alpha(n)$ a VERY slowly growing function. (See textbook for details)
 - m is the number of times you run the operation. So constant time, for each operation
- So overall Kruskal's with path compression: $\Theta(E * \log(V) + E * 1) = \Theta(E * \log(V))$ //now the heap is slowest part
- Originally:

 $\Theta(E * \log(V) + E * V) = \Theta(E * V) = O(V^3)$ //Assumed find and union linear time

– (Time complexity if we'd sorted edges and not used a heap?)

Summary

What did we learn?

- Minimum Spanning Trees
 - Review!
- Kruskal's Algorithm
 - Review again!
- Find-union
 - How to implement
 - How to optimize
 - How it affects runtime of Kruskal's algorithm.