CS 3100 Data Structures and Algorithms 2

Lecture 23: Reductions

Co-instructors: Robbie Hott and Ray Pettit Spring 2024

Readings from CLRS 4th Ed: Network flow etc. in Chapter 24 (Reductions covered in CLRS but in a context we're not studying in CS3100)

Two Ways to use Reductions

Suppose we have a "fast" reduction from A to B



1. A "fast" algorithm for B gives a fast algorithm for A



If we have a worst-case lower bound for A, we also have one for B

If **A** is slow



Party Problem



Draw Edges between people who don't get along Find the maximum number of people who get along



Maximum Independent Set

- Independent set: S ⊆ V is an independent set if no two nodes in S share an edge
- Maximum Independent Set Problem: Given a graph G = (V, E) find the maximum independent set S

Example



Generalized Baseball



Generalized Baseball



Minimum Vertex Cover

- Vertex Cover: C ⊆ V is a vertex cover if every edge in E has one of its endpoints in C
- Minimum Vertex Cover: Given a graph G = (V, E) find the minimum vertex cover C

Example



$MaxIndSet \leq_V MinVertCov$



If A requires time $\Omega(f(n))$ time then B also requires $\Omega(f(n))$ time $A \leq_V B$

We need to build this Reduction



Reduction Idea

S is an independent set of G iff V - S is a vertex cover of G



Reduction Idea

S is an independent set of G iff V - S is a vertex cover of G



MaxVertCov V-Time Reducible to MinIndSet



Proof: \Rightarrow

S is an independent set of G iff V - S is a vertex cover of G

Let *S* be an independent set



Consider any edge $(x, y) \in E$

If $x \in S$ then $y \notin S$, because other wise S would not be an independent set

Therefore $y \in V - S$, so edge (x, y) is covered by V - S

Proof: \Leftarrow



At least one of x and y belong to V - S, because V - S is a vertex cover

Therefore x and y are not both in S, No edge has both end-nodes in S, thus S is an independent set

MaxIndSet V-Time Reducible to MinVertCov



MinVertCov V-Time Reducible to MaxIndSet











Conclusion

- MaxIndSet and MinVertCov are either both fast, or both slow
 - Spoiler alert: We don't know which!
 - (But we think they're both slow)
 - Both problems are NP-Complete

NP Complete Problems: A Short Overview

Problems and Exponential Solutions

- We've not been able to find polynomial-time algorithms for some problems
- Example graph problems
 - Travelling Salesperson Problem. (Similar problem: does a graph have a Hamilton Cycle?)
 - Can a graph be colored with k colors (for k>2)?
 - Max Independent Set and Min Vertex Cover
- Other examples
 - Satisfiability: Given a Boolean logic expression like: $(x_1 \lor \neg x_2) \land (\neg x_1 \lor x_3 \lor x_4) \land (\neg x_5)$ can we assign True or False to the Boolean variables so this is true?

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List of NP-complete problems

Exponential? It's an Open Question

- Perhaps we just haven't found a polynomial algorithm yet
 - Option 1: Keep trying!
 - Option 2: Prove an exponential lower bound for a problem, thus showing it's impossible for the problem to have a polynomial solution
- For the problems just listed, no one's made such a lower bound proof either
- Bottom-line: We don't know if these problems are exponential or not!

Before we go further on this topic....

- This is a complex (and interesting!) topic in CS theory
- In these slides, we will approach things from a simpler viewpoint than you'd get in a CS theory course (like CS 3120)

- For example, the math and theory related to this starts with decision problems
 - But we're not going to say much about that, and instead we'll be less formal than perhaps we should be

Classes of Problems: P vs NP

• P

- P is the set of problems solvable in polynomial time
 - Find a solution in $O(n^c)$ for some number c

• NP

- Non-Deterministic Polynomial Time
- NP is the set of problems *verifiable* in polynomial time
 - Verify a proposed solution (not find one) in $\mathcal{O}(n^c)$ for some number c
- Open Problem: Does P=NP?
 - Certainly $P \subseteq NP$



NP-Complete Problems

- Computer scientists have identified a group of problems we call NP-Complete
- A problem $A \in NP$ -Complete if:
 - $-A \in NP$ (a solution can be verified in polynomial time)
 - Any other problem in NP can be reduced to A in polynomial time, i.e. for any B \in NP, B \leq_p A
- Some consequences
 - There must be a reduction between any two NP-Complete problems
 - If one NP-Complete problem has a polynomial solution, all problems in NP-Complete and NP are polynomial, and thus P = NP
 - If one NP-Complete problem has an exponential lower bound, they all do and $P \neq NP$

Take-Aways for CS 3100

- What an NP-Complete Problem is
 - Informally: a group of problems that are "equivalent" in that they're either all polynomial or all exponential, and we don't know which
- The big open question in CS: Does P=NP?
 - Given a problem, if we can verify a solution is polynomial time, does this mean we can always solve it directly in polynomial time?
- Some problems we've studied are NP-Complete problems
 - Max Independent Set, Min Vertex Cover, dynamic programming problems that are pseudo-polynomial

More about this topic in CS3120! https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/NP-completeness